

MATTHEW J. NOBLE

SHAREHOLDER



AREAS OF PRACTICE

General Liability
Premises & Retail Liability
Product Liability
Automobile Liability

CONTACT INFO

(215) 575-2744
MJNoble@mdwgc.com

2000 Market Street, Suite 2300
Philadelphia, PA 19103

ADMISSIONS

Pennsylvania
2003

EDUCATION

Rutgers, The State University of
New Jersey School of Law -
Camden (J.D., 2003)

University of Scranton (B.S., 1992)

HONORS & AWARDS

Pennsylvania Super Lawyer Rising
Star
2012-2013

ASSOCIATIONS & MEMBERSHIPS

Pennsylvania Bar Association

YEAR JOINED

2003

OVERVIEW

Matt joined Marshall Dennehey as a member of the Casualty Department defending claims involving product liability and product warranty and motor vehicle liability. He currently represents a major American automobile manufacturer in their product liability litigation.

Matt also frequently represents national and local retailers, recreational facilities, third-party management and security agencies against personal injury, assault, allegations of false arrest, malicious prosecution, negligent security and general negligence cases. His representation has included a national security agency for professional sporting venues.

Matt has experience with the successful use of multiple experts in defending cases. For example, in a recent motor vehicle matter, through the use of medical expert testimony in the areas of orthopedics and neurology, in combination with the testimony of a vocational expert, Matt was successful in bringing the settlement demand in that case down from \$1.25 million to a final settlement before jury selection of \$25,000.

Matt is a 1992 graduate of the University of Scranton. Upon graduation, he accepted a commission as a Second Lieutenant in the U.S. Marine Corps. He served in Virginia, California, Japan and Pennsylvania as a logistics officer. In 1999 while on active duty, he entered Rutgers School of Law - Camden. Matt graduated from Rutgers a semester early in 2002 with a juris doctor. In July 2003, he was mobilized with the Marine Corps for Operation Iraqi Freedom 2-II for eight months of service at Al Asad airbase in Iraq with Marine Wing Support Group 37 as the group's Logistics/Legal Officer.

In June 2020, Matt retired as a Lieutenant Colonel after 29 years of service in the United States Marine Corps and was awarded the Meritorious Service Medal.

THOUGHT LEADERSHIP

Can a Plaintiff Safely Walk and Chew Gum at the Same Time in Pennsylvania?

Philadelphia - Headquarters

General Liability

December 17, 2013

By Matthew J. Noble, Esq. and David Salazar, Esq.* Key Points: Defense Digest, Vol. 19, No. 4, December 2013

Marshall Dennehey Warner Coleman & Goggin Elects New Shareholders

December 14, 2012

Philadelphia, PA – Marshall Dennehey Warner Coleman & Goggin is pleased to announce that the following 13 attorneys were elected shareholders at the Annual Shareholders' Meeting on December 11, 2012: Christoph

[Read More](#)

CLASSES/SEMINARS TAUGHT

An Overview of Pennsylvania Law for Auto Law & Premises Claims, Marshall Dennehey Virtual Client Presentation, February, 2021

Understanding and Navigating the Philadelphia Arbitration System, CLE 2011

PUBLISHED WORKS

"Can A Plaintiff Safely Walk and Chew Gum At The Same Time in Pennsylvania?" *Defense Digest*, Vol. 19, No. 4, December 2013, co-author

"Cracking the Concrete Corporation Veil," *Defense Digest*, Winter 2007

"Stolen Cars: If It Looks Like A Duck And Quacks Like A Duck, It's An 'Ugly Duck'," *Defense Digest*, Winter 2005

"Look Both Ways Before Crossing The Street: Limited Tort Selection And Its Effect On The Pedestrian," *Defense Digest*, Fall 2003

PRO BONO ACTIVITIES

Volunteer, Veterans Mentor, Bucks County Veterans Court, assisting vetrans in working towards successful resolutions of criminal charges so future contact with the criminal justice system can be avoided

RESULTS

Socially-distanced trial produces defense verdict for auto manufacturer.

February 9, 2021

After a masked and socially distanced two-day trial in Bucks County, we obtained a defense verdict in favor of an automotive manufacturer. The plaintiff purchased a new 2018 vehicle on March 10, 2018. Approximately one year after the purchase, the plaintiff complained several times that the start/stop function shut off and would not restart. The manufacturer identified the problem and was working on a solution.

Plaintiff's "Rail Dust" Car Paint Claim Bites the Dust.

August 24, 2018

Obtained a defense verdict after a three-day trial in Philadelphia County in favor of an automobile manufacturer. The plaintiffs claimed their new truck was purchased with a defect in the truck's paint called "rail dust." The plaintiff asserted claims under the Pennsylvania Lemon Law, Magnuson Moss Warranty Act, and Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection law that the "rail dust" either occurred in the manufacturing process or during transportation of the truck by the manufacturer to the dealership.

Defense Proves Plaintiff Caused Car Damage at Heart of Lawsuit.

May 11, 2018

We obtained a defense verdict after a three-day trial in Philadelphia County in favor of a regional automobile franchise. The plaintiffs purchased a used 2011 Chevrolet Cruze from the defendant. They then claimed that their vehicle was purchased with the undisclosed fact that it had been involved in a flood. They asserted claims under the Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law that the vehicle's prior history was not identified and the vehicle was sold having mud, rust and dirt all over the car.

SIGNIFICANT REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS

A directed verdict for failure to prove negligence on behalf of a security company defendant at a professional sports stadium in an action brought by a patron who claimed to have her nose broken by the mother of one of the players.

A hung jury following a two-and-a-half day deliberation in a case against a defendant taxi driver in which plaintiff claimed the driver caused her neck and back strain after driving the wrong way up a one-way street, running a stop sign and into the side of plaintiff's car, but where defendant argued the alleged injuries were pre-existing.

A hospital defendant whose lost driver while making a u-turn was struck in the side by another driver with a passenger. In that case, the plaintiff passenger's case was dismissed before trial, and the driver plaintiff was awarded only nominal damages by a jury, even though it determined that plaintiff had pierced the limited tort threshold by showing that he had a serious injury with a serious impairment of a bodily function.