

TYLER R. PRICE

ASSOCIATE



AREAS OF PRACTICE

Health Care Liability
Construction Injury Litigation

CONTACT INFO

(267) 787-8018
TRPrice@mdwccg.com

2000 Market Street, Suite 2300
Philadelphia, PA 19103

ADMISSIONS

Pennsylvania
2022

U.S. District Court Eastern District
of Pennsylvania
2023

U.S. District Court Middle District
of Pennsylvania
2023

EDUCATION

Villanova University Charles
Widger School of Law (J.D., 2022)

University of Pittsburgh (B.S.,
2013)

OVERVIEW

Tyler is a member of the Health Care Department concentrating his practice on the defense of health care practitioners and providers, as well as physicians' offices, hospitals and health systems in various litigation matters. He works closely with clients to defend against claims and preserve their interests throughout all stages of the litigation process. In addition to representing clients in complex medical malpractice matters, Tyler also commits himself to defending corporations in the general casualty, product liability, construction injury and premises liability fields.

Prior to joining Marshall Dennehey, Tyler worked as a Nationally Registered Paramedic in both Montgomery County and Bucks County. Drawing from his professional health care experience, Tyler understands the nature and complexity of each client's interests and manages each case with a multidisciplinary approach. When clients are confronted with legal obstacles, they can rely on Tyler to appreciate and maintain their interests from the foundational issues through the complex issues that may arise in each matter.

Tyler earned his juris doctor from Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law, where he served as Vice Chairperson of the Moot Court Board. He received his undergraduate degree in Emergency Medicine from the University of Pittsburgh.

ASSOCIATIONS & MEMBERSHIPS

Lehigh County Bar Association

Pennsylvania Bar Association

YEAR JOINED

2024

THOUGHT LEADERSHIP

Proposed Expert's Qualification to Proffer Standard of Care Opinions Must Be Evaluated Under the Entirety of Section 512 of the MCARE Act

Philadelphia - Headquarters

Health Care Liability

June 1, 2025

Key Points: Defense Digest, Vol. 31, No.

LEGAL ROUNDUP – Pennsylvania

Philadelphia - Headquarters

Health Care Liability

May 1, 2025

Pennsylvania Superior Court Vacates Summary Judgment Due to Procedural Error in Response Time
Jordan v. Lynde, 330 A.3d 817 (Pa. Super. Ct. 2024) The Quarterly Dose – May 2025, has been prepared for our readers by Marshall Dennehey.

Pennsylvania Superior Court Affirms Dismissal of Medical Negligence Claims Due to Insufficient Evidence

Philadelphia - Headquarters

Health Care Liability

Birth & Catastrophic Injury Litigation

Behavioral Health Risk & Liability

Long-Term Care Liability

Telehealth & Telemedicine

Emergency Medical Services

Electronic Medical Record & Audit Trail Litigation

April 1, 2025

The Pennsylvania Superior Court upheld the dismissal of a medical negligence claim against a physician, finding that the plaintiff failed to present sufficient evidence to establish a prima facie case. Case Law Alerts, 2nd Quarter, April

Pennsylvania Superior Court Reverses Expert Disqualification Based on Board Certification Alone

Philadelphia - Headquarters

Health Care Liability

Long-Term Care Liability

Birth & Catastrophic Injury Litigation

Telehealth & Telemedicine

April 1, 2025

The Pennsylvania Superior Court reversed and remanded a trial court opinion, holding that the trial court committed an error by disqualifying an expert based solely on his board certification, where a gastroenterologist was offered to present. Case Law Alerts, 2nd Quarter, April

Pennsylvania Superior Court Vacates Summary Judgment Due to Procedural Error in Response Time

Philadelphia - Headquarters

Health Care Liability

Long-Term Care Liability

Birth & Catastrophic Injury Litigation

Emergency Medical Services

Telehealth & Telemedicine

Electronic Medical Record & Audit Trail Litigation

Behavioral Health Risk & Liability

April 1, 2025

The Pennsylvania Superior Court ruled that the trial court abused its discretion by failing to grant the appellants the 30-day response period required under Pa. R.Civ.P. Case Law Alerts, 2nd Quarter, April