

VLADA TASICH

CO-CHAIR, PRODUCT LIABILITY PRACTICE GROUP
CHAIR, CLASS ACTION LITIGATION PRACTICE GROUP
SHAREHOLDER



AREAS OF PRACTICE

Product Liability
Property Litigation
Trucking & Transportation Liability
Construction Injury Litigation
Class Action Litigation
Miscellaneous Professional Liability
Catastrophic Claims Litigation

CONTACT INFO

(215) 575-2659
VXTasich@mdwcg.com

2000 Market Street, Suite 2300
Philadelphia, PA 19103

ADMISSIONS

Pennsylvania
2002

U.S. District Court Eastern District
of Pennsylvania
2002

U.S. District Court Western District
of Pennsylvania
2011

U.S. District Court Middle District
of Pennsylvania
2013

OVERVIEW

Vlada Tasich is a litigation and trial attorney who has been defending the interests of companies and individuals in complex civil litigation matters throughout the state and federal courts of Pennsylvania for over twenty years. As co-chair of the firm's Product Liability Practice Group, Vlada was recently recognized by Chambers USA with a Band Two ranking in the area of product liability defense in Pennsylvania. His experience encompasses all aspects of motor vehicle design and manufacture, appliances, consumer electronics, residential and industrial fires, durable medical equipment, industrial equipment, exercise equipment, perishable items, and many other consumer goods. He also represents clients in matters involving pharmacy malpractice, premises liability, transportation litigation, construction accidents, and consumer class actions. Vlada's clients have retained him to coordinate litigation nationally and to serve as regional counsel.

Having previously been recognized as a "Rising Star" by Pennsylvania Super Lawyers from 2010 to 2012, Vlada has been selected to the Pennsylvania Super Lawyer list for product liability defense each year since 2015. He is also frequently asked by clients and industry organizations to lecture on various issues in product liability, premises liability, and motor vehicle law.

Born and raised in Philadelphia, Vlada received his B.A. from George Washington University in 1997 and his J.D. from the Catholic University of America, Columbus School of Law in 2001.

EDUCATION

The Catholic University of America
Columbus School of Law (J.D.,
2001)

The George Washington
University (B.A., 1997)

HONORS & AWARDS

Chambers USA, Pennsylvania:
Litigation: Product Liability, Band
Two
2025

Pennsylvania Super Lawyers
2015-2025

Pennsylvania Super Lawyers
Rising Star
2010-2012

ASSOCIATIONS & MEMBERSHIPS

Defense Research Institute (DRI)

Pennsylvania Bar Association

Product Liability Advisory Council
(PLAC)

YEAR JOINED

2003

THOUGHT LEADERSHIP

Marshall Dennehey Earns Prestigious Chambers USA Rankings

Product Liability
Appellate Advocacy & Post-Trial Practice
Environmental & Toxic Tort Litigation
Asbestos & Mass Tort Litigation
June 5, 2025

Marshall Dennehey is proud to announce that two of the firm's practices have received top recognition in the 2025 edition of Chambers USA.

[Read More](#)

Marshall Dennehey Announces 2025 Pennsylvania Super Lawyers and Rising Stars

May 22, 2025

Thirty-three attorneys across Marshall Dennehey's five Pennsylvania offices have been selected to the 2025 edition of Pennsylvania Super Lawyers magazine.

[Read More](#)

Marshall Dennehey Earns Four Finalist Honors in 2025 Pennsylvania Legal Awards

Appellate Advocacy & Post-Trial Practice
Product Liability
Health Care Liability
Miscellaneous Professional Liability

April 17, 2025

Marshall Dennehey has been named a finalist in four categories as part of The Legal Intelligencer and Law.com 2025 Pennsylvania Legal Awards. The categories include:

[Read More](#)

Marshall Dennehey Announces 2024 Pennsylvania Super Lawyers and Rising Stars

May 17, 2024

Twenty-eight attorneys across Marshall Dennehey's six Pennsylvania offices have been selected to the 2024 edition of Pennsylvania Super Lawyers magazine.

[Read More](#)

Marshall Dennehey Announces 2022 Pennsylvania Super Lawyers and Rising Stars

May 23, 2022

Thirty-four attorneys across Marshall Dennehey's six Pennsylvania offices have been selected to the 2022 edition of Pennsylvania Super Lawyers magazine.

[Read More](#)

CLASSES/SEMINARS TAUGHT

Social Inflation, Marshall Dennehey Client Seminar, June 21, 2022

Autonomous Vehicles: The Rise of the Machines, ILG Virtual Conference, March 25, 2021

"The Approaching Wave of Autonomous Vehicles Drives New Risks to Insurers," A.M. Best Insurance Law Podcast, June 27, 2019

PUBLISHED WORKS

"Tincher – One Year Later," Defense Digest, Vol. 21, No. 4, December 2015

"Owners of Registered but Uninsured Vehicles Beware Pennsylvania Superior Court Ruling Makes First Party Benefits Unrecoverable," Defense Digest, Vol. 9, No. 4, December 2003

RESULTS

Summary Judgment Won in a Pennsylvania Premises Liability Case

Premises & Retail Liability

July 9, 2024

We secured summary judgment in a premises liability case in Northampton County, Pennsylvania, dismissing all claims against a national sporting goods retailer. The plaintiff claimed he slipped and fell on a slippery substance inside a the store while testing out bicycles. The plaintiff and his wife admitted that after he fell, they did not inspect the floor and quickly left the store. Months later, and after filing suit, the plaintiff and an engineer visited the store and claimed that there was an open can of bicycle grease in the area where the fall had occurred.

Dismissal with Prejudice Obtained in Case Targeting Alcoholic Beverage Manufacturer

Product Liability

January 10, 2024

We secured a dismissal with prejudice in a product liability case in Pennsylvania. The plaintiffs, who were involved, but not responsible, for a drunk driving accident, claimed that the beverage manufacturer was liable to them because the product had more alcohol than other alcoholic beverages and was improperly marketed to minors.

Defense prevails in workplace injury/premises liability case.

Premises & Retail Liability

August 24, 2020

The defendants were two family-owned companies that grow, process and sell mushrooms. One defendant, our client, owned the property, and the other operated the business there. The plaintiff worked for an independent company that was contracted to load compost into the defendants' mushroom beds. The plaintiff encountered a problem with the equipment used to lift the compost (the source of the problem is in dispute).

Summary Judgment in Class Action Lawsuit On Behalf of Large Insurer.

Class Action Litigation

August 24, 2018

We obtained summary judgment in a putative class action lawsuit in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on behalf of a large insurer. The case dealt with a letter the insurance carrier would send to their insureds following a motor vehicle accident in which they advised their insureds that they would have a rental vehicle for five days. The named plaintiffs argued the letter misrepresented the policy language and they sued for breach of contract, bad faith, declaratory judgment and equitable relief.

SIGNIFICANT REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS

Successfully obtained dismissal of a wrongful death action against a product manufacturer and distributor for lack of personal jurisdiction. The case involved allegations of a defective windshield installed in Pennsylvania, but the defense team demonstrated that the clients—located in Ohio and South Carolina—lacked sufficient contacts with the forum state, overcoming the plaintiff's stream-of-commerce jurisdiction theory.

Prevailed on a Motion to Dismiss in a data breach class action in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Sixteen named plaintiffs brought claims alleging that a hacker had accessed the personal information of over 1,000,000 individuals nationwide. We defended the debt collection company whose computer servers were compromised. Plaintiffs asserted broad and novel legal theories, including negligent failure to protect data, breach of implied contract, invasion of privacy, negligence per se, and violations of various state consumer protection laws. We successfully contested these claims, resulting in the dismissal of eight plaintiffs for lack of standing and 15 of the 17 asserted causes of action being dismissed.

Successfully defended dealer of an asphalt compactor in a two-week product liability trial in Philadelphia County. Plaintiff sustained a severe crush injury to the leg, and the jury returned a verdict against defendants dealer and manufacturer for over \$900,000. On motion for judgment as a matter of law, the Court granted the client's cross-claim for indemnity against the co-defendant manufacturer on a pass through liability theory based on absence of evidence of substantial change to the product by dealer.

Obtained a dismissal with prejudice of a products liability case filed against an alcohol beverage manufacturer. The Plaintiffs are individuals who were seriously injured or killed when the alleged minor drunk driver of the vehicle in which they were passengers was involved in a single car accident. The Plaintiffs claimed that the manufacturer was liable to them because the product had more alcohol than other alcohol beverages, was improperly marketed to minors, like their driver, and did not warn of the dangers associated with the beverage. Our team argued several points including that Pennsylvania does not recognize such a products liability cause of action because the dangers of drinking alcohol and driving are obvious, and the manufacturer has no duty to warn potential users of such dangers. Additionally, alcohol is not an unreasonably dangerous product.

Defense verdict in week-long product liability trial in Philadelphia County for global electronics manufacturer involving a fire loss allegedly due to one of its microwave ovens. There was no direct evidence of the alleged defective condition, and the plaintiff proceeded on malfunction theory. Unanimous jury found the plaintiff failed to eliminate all other possible causes of the fire.

Obtained dismissal of major vehicle manufacturer by non suit after week-long product liability trial in Philadelphia County involving industrial equipment after plaintiffs failed to present evidence of negligence and causation.

Denial of class certification in action against insurance carrier for alleged breach of fiduciary duties and violations of insurance regulations.

Denial of class certification in action against insurance company for alleged violations of the Pennsylvania Motor Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law.

Successful defense and resolution of numerous class actions involving claims under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, Fair Debt Collections Practices Act, Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, and other state consumer protection laws.

Successful defense of national retail chain during four day trial in Philadelphia County premises liability action. Plaintiff fell on defendant's premises allegedly due to insufficient safety precautions taken by store employees, and sustained a traumatic brain injury. Pretrial settlement demand was \$500,000. Liability was contested, and competing adverse inference instructions were given by the Court for alleged evidence spoliation. Plaintiff contended defendant failed to preserve security camera footage. The defense offered expert testimony that a key scene photograph taken by plaintiff had been altered. Upon deliberation, the jury found 50% liability against plaintiff and returned a four-figure nuisance verdict.