

JOAN ORSINI FORD

CO-CHAIR, BIRTH AND CATASTROPHIC INJURY LITIGATION PRACTICE GROUP
SHAREHOLDER



AREAS OF PRACTICE

Health Care Liability
Birth & Catastrophic Injury Litigation
Long-Term Care Liability

CONTACT INFO

(610) 354-8468
JOFord@mdwcg.com

620 Freedom Business Center
Suite 405
King of Prussia, PA 19406

ADMISSIONS

Pennsylvania
1987

U.S. District Court Eastern District
of Pennsylvania
1988

EDUCATION

Widener University School of Law
(J.D., 1986)

La Salle University (B.A., 1984)

HONORS & AWARDS

AV® Preeminent™ by Martindale-
Hubbell®

2021 James P. Fox Trial Lawyer of
the Year Award, Montgomery Bar
Association Trial Lawyers Section

OVERVIEW

Joan focuses her practice exclusively on medical malpractice litigation involving the defense of hospitals, doctors, nurses, physicians' assistants, nursing homes, long-term care facilities and behavioral health treatment facilities. She also handles other healthcare-related issues. Throughout her career, Joan has handled in excess of 1000 medical malpractice cases, including numerous high-exposure cases involving catastrophic injuries and death in infants and adults. She has taken more than 50 major jury cases to verdict in state and federal courts with overwhelmingly favorable results.

After receiving a Bachelor of Arts in Political Science from LaSalle University in 1984, Joan attended Widener University where she earned her *juris doctor* in 1986. At Widener, she participated in the Philip C. Jessup International Law Moot Court Competition. Her legal education also included courses at Notre Dame School of Law in London, England, and The Dickenson Law School in Florence, Italy. Prior to joining Marshall Dennehey in 1997, Joan worked for a defense firm for nine years where she also concentrated on medical malpractice defense, primarily representing physicians.

Joan has lectured for various organizations over the course of her career, and has given presentations to nursing and medical staff at multiple hospitals on litigation related issues. In addition, she has served as an instructor in the firm's annual Advanced Trial Advocacy Mock Trial Program.

In 2021, Joan received the James P. Fox Trial Lawyer of the Year Award from the Montgomery Bar Association Trial Lawyers Section. The award recognizes not only exceptional skill as a civil litigation and trial lawyer, but also a dedication to promoting a positive image for attorneys through one's professional demeanor, service and integrity.

ASSOCIATIONS & MEMBERSHIPS

American Board of Trial Advocates, 2013 to Present

Montgomery Bar Association, 1987 to present

Montgomery Bar Association - Board of Directors, 2017

Montgomery Bar Association - Bench Bar Committee, 2013 to present

Montgomery Bar Association - Trial Lawyers Section, 2013 to present

Montgomery County Inns of Court - Past President, 2012-2014, Vice President 2011, Treasurer 2010, Secretary 2009

Pennsylvania Bar Association

YEAR JOINED

1997

THOUGHT LEADERSHIP

Joan Orsini Ford Receives the 2021 James P. Fox Trial Lawyer of the Year Award From the Montgomery Bar Association

Health Care Liability
December 21, 2021

Joan Orsini Ford, shareholder in the Health Care Department in the King of Prussia office of Marshall Dennehey Warner Coleman & Goggin, has been named the recipient of the 2021 James P. Fox Trial Lawyer of the Year Award. [Read More](#)

CORONAVIRUS AND THE LAW: A Legal Guide for the Healthcare Industry

Health Care Liability
Long-Term Care Liability
Workers' Compensation
Behavioral Health Risk & Liability
March 31, 2020

In late 2019, news of a new virus began to emerge from China. The material in this newsletter has been prepared for our readers by Marshall Dennehey Warner Coleman & Goggin.

CLASSES/SEMINARS TAUGHT

You Want How Much? The Rising Tide in Case Values, Settlement Demands and Verdicts, Marshall Dennehey Trends in Health Care and Health Law Seminar, May 9, 2024

Opioid Toxicity and Reversal: Attorney Perspective on Hospital Liability & Patient's Rights, American College of Medical Toxicology Seminar, December 11, 2017

Opioids in Civil Proceedings: Perspectives from the Defense, American College of Medical Toxicology Seminar, December 11, 2017

Trial Negotiation and Business Skills for Women Trial Attorneys, Pennsylvania Trial Lawyers Association, April 11, 2001

Treatment and Consent for Minors, Insurance Society of Philadelphia, February 21, 2001

Medical Treatment to Minors, Lehigh Valley Risk Management Society, March 1, 2000

PUBLISHED WORKS

"Long-Term Health Care Dealt Another Blow," *Defense Digest*, Vol. 23, No. 4, December 2017

"Nurses: Their Expanding Role as Expert Witnesses," *AgentsofAmerica.org*, January 3, 2013

"Update on E-Discovery and the Electronic Medical Record," *Defense Digest*, Vol. 18, No. 4, December 2012

"How to Avoid EMR Legal Pitfalls," *The Family Practice News*, September 14, 2012

"Nurses: Their Expanding Role as Expert Witnesses," *Defense Digest*, Vol. 17, No. 1, March 2011

RESULTS

Plaintiff's claims barred by statute of limitations and immunity provisions of the Pennsylvania Mental Health Procedures Act.

Health Care Liability
February 9, 2021

The defense prevailed on preliminary objections in Philadelphia County as the court found that the case involved a medical malpractice action involving the plaintiff's allegations of an alleged assault by three patients while the plaintiff was a patient at a behavioral health hospital. In the complaint, the plaintiff pleaded ordinary negligence.

SIGNIFICANT REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS

Defended a hospital in a case involving an alleged failure to diagnose and treat a brainstem stroke. Plaintiff was a 56-year-old man and was "locked in" as a result of the stroke. In addition to issues involving the medical care, plaintiffs were pursuing a corporate liability claim against the hospital. Plaintiff's claim for past and future medical bills and future wage loss was in excess of 17 million dollars. After a four week trial, the jury found in favor of the defense.

Defended two obstetricians in a case involving an alleged delay in the performance of a c-section resulting in severe brain damage to the infant. Future medical specials and wage loss were in excess of 145 million dollars. After a four week trial, the jury found in favor of the defendants.

Successfully defended a hospital in a wrongful death case. The plaintiff, a 50-year-old man, presented to the ER where he was diagnosed as suffering from a stroke. He received tPA and was transferred to the ICU. Plaintiff alleged there was a failure to monitor, diagnose and treat swelling in the brain and a midline shift that led to the plaintiff's death, three days later. Plaintiff's wage loss claim was in excess of five million dollars.

Defended an Institute and two psychologists in a case involving the wrongful death of a child adopted from Russia. Plaintiff alleged in the civil suit that the child sustained massive head injuries and died as a result of alleged abuse by the parents, codefendants in the civil case, and that the psychologists were negligent in failing to suspect the abuse and neglect which ultimately led to his death. The death of the child resulted in significant media exposure and Russia's suspension of adoptions to the United States. The parents were charged with the child's murder and convicted of involuntary manslaughter. Defendants settled for a confidential amount; the verdict against the parents was in excess of 14.7 million dollars.

Defended a hospital in a case involving the care and treatment rendered to a patient who, after his discharge, murdered his wife and was then murdered by his son. The story was featured in an ESPN special and Philadelphia Magazine. The case was settled with no payment by the hospital.

Entered as trial counsel for a defendant psychiatrist on the second day of trial, with no prior involvement in the case. The claim involved a murder/suicide by a man who was the patient of the psychiatrist. When trial counsel for the defendant psychiatrist became ill in court, the defense moved for a mistrial. The judge denied the request and ordered that another attorney from the firm assume the doctor's representation. After a five day trial, the case went to verdict and the jury found in favor of the defendant.