

## KEVIN TODOROW

ASSOCIATE



### AREAS OF PRACTICE

Appellate Advocacy & Post-Trial Practice

### CONTACT INFO

(215) 575-2726  
KTTodorow@MDWCG.com

2000 Market Street, Suite 2300  
Philadelphia, PA 19103

### ADMISSIONS

Pennsylvania  
2018

U.S. Supreme Court  
2022

U.S. Court of Appeals for the  
Armed Forces  
2022

U.S. Army Court of Criminal  
Appeals  
2022

### EDUCATION

Temple University Beasley School  
of Law (J.D., 2018)

Pennsylvania State University  
(B.S., 2011)

### ASSOCIATIONS & MEMBERSHIPS

Temple American Inn of Court,  
2017

Philadelphia Bar Association

### YEAR JOINED

2024

### OVERVIEW

Kevin is a member of the Professional Liability Department where he focuses his practice on appellate advocacy, including post-trial motions and appeals.

Prior to joining Marshall Dennehey, Kevin served as a Judge Advocate in the United States Army, first working in military justice, then representing soldiers convicted at courts-martial on appeal and arguing before the Army Court of Criminal Appeals and the Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces. Kevin also served in the chambers of the Honorable Anne E. Lazarus of the Superior Court of Pennsylvania, where he drafted memoranda and opinions on a wide range of criminal and civil cases.

Kevin graduated from Penn State University with a Bachelor of Arts degree in English and a Bachelor of Science degree in Economics. After working in advertising for several years, he attended Temple University School of Law, where he received his *juris doctor*. While at Temple, Kevin was a member of the law review as a staff editor and an editorial board member.

### THOUGHT LEADERSHIP

#### Pennsylvania Supreme Court Reaffirms Pennsylvania's Statutory Employer Doctrine

**Philadelphia - Headquarters**  
**Appellate Advocacy & Post-Trial Practice**  
**January 1, 2026**

Immunity under Pennsylvania's Worker's Compensation Act is a powerful tool against tort liability—but the viability of the statutory employer doctrine was called into question when the Pennsylvania Supreme Court granted review to

## **SIGNIFICANT REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS**

Successfully secured reconsideration following the denial of summary judgment motion in a construction accident case. The plaintiff, represented by a prominent personal injury firm, demanded \$35 million. Though the court initially denied summary judgment, the team argued for reconsideration following the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's decision in Yoder. Two weeks before jury selection, the Court of Common Pleas granted our motion—an outcome that is highly uncommon in Philadelphia—providing a decisive victory for our clients.

Obtained dismissal of clients by Summary Judgment in a Philadelphia premises liability case in which we represented both the landowner and tenant. The plaintiff originally demanded \$2 million, later reduced to \$800,000. Although our clients were responsible for sidewalk maintenance, the plaintiff's deposition testimony confirmed she tripped on a smaller portion of an alleged defect which was larger in other areas of the sidewalk. We successfully argued that this portion was de minimis and not actionable under Pennsylvania law. Despite a comprehensive opposition and a Motion for Reconsideration, the Court agreed with our arguments, dismissing all claims against our clients with prejudice.