

## JOHN R. NINOSKY

SHAREHOLDER



### ADMISSIONS

Pennsylvania  
1996

U.S. District Court Eastern District  
of Pennsylvania  
1997

U.S. District Court Middle District  
of Pennsylvania  
1997

U.S. Court of Appeals 3rd Circuit  
1997

U.S. District Court Western District  
of Pennsylvania  
2002

U.S. Supreme Court  
2002

West Virginia  
2013

U.S. District Court Southern  
District of West Virginia  
2013

### EDUCATION

Penn State Dickinson Law (J.D.,  
1996)

Indiana University of Pennsylvania  
(B.A., summa cum laude, 1993)

### AREAS OF PRACTICE

Health Care Liability  
Long-Term Care Liability  
Miscellaneous Professional Liability  
Lawyers' Professional Liability  
Behavioral Health Risk & Liability

### CONTACT INFO

(717) 651-3709  
[JRNinosky@mdwcg.com](mailto:JRNinosky@mdwcg.com)

200 Corporate Center Drive  
Suite 300  
Camp Hill, PA 17011

### OVERVIEW

John is a member of the firm's Health Care Department. He concentrates his practice in medical malpractice, representing correctional health care providers, health systems, long term-care facilities, assisted living facilities, physicians, nurses and mental health professionals. John also has extensive experience in handling general liability matters which is a significant asset in defending legal malpractice actions, particularly as they relate to claims against trial counsel. When a legal malpractice claim derives from an underlying medical malpractice or general liability matter, John brings depth and added knowledge to the defense of the matter. He has successfully tried cases to verdict in all three of Pennsylvania's federal courts, and in 12 county courts of common pleas. John has also participated in various types of ADR activities, including arbitrations and mediations, throughout Pennsylvania.

John partners with his clients to resolve matters as efficiently and effectively as possible. He evaluates each case with an eye toward prompt resolution, whether through ADR or trial. An experienced trial attorney, John assesses the risk of exposure and focuses on developing a persuasive story that is easily understandable for the jury.

While attending the Dickinson School of Law, John was a member of the ATLA trial team. He was also an adjunct professor with the Advocacy Program. Prior to law school, John graduated *summa cum laude* from Indiana University of Pennsylvania. As an undergrad, he participated in varsity baseball and was named a three-time PSAC scholar athlete award winner. John remains active with IUP and has served as the president of the Alumni Association Board of Directors. He was the recipient of the Distinguished Alumni Award for Service in 2018.

John has been recognized among the *Best Lawyers in America* since 2018, and has been selected a Best Lawyers "Lawyer of the Year" in the Harrisburg region in both 2022 and 2020. He has additionally been selected a *Pennsylvania Super Lawyer* numerous times since 2014.

As an active member of the legal and civic communities, John is involved in various organizations. He is a member of the Federal Bar Association, Middle District of Pennsylvania Chapter, as well as the Dauphin and Cumberland County Bar Associations, the Pennsylvania Defense Institute, and the St. Thomas More Society. John is the former Mayor of Paxtang Borough and past president of the Paxtang Borough Council. He coached youth sports through the Wilhem Paxtang Athletic Association and the St. Margaret Mary Athletic Association for a number of years.

---

## HONORS & AWARDS

The Best Lawyers in America®, "Lawyer of the Year," Harrisburg, Litigation - Insurance 2020, 2024

The Best Lawyers in America®, Personal Injury Litigation - Defendants; Litigation - Insurance 2018-2026

Pennsylvania Super Lawyers 2014-2018, 2021-2025

Pennsylvania Super Lawyers Rising Star 2010-2011

---

## ASSOCIATIONS & MEMBERSHIPS

Federal Bar Association, Middle District of Pennsylvania Chapter

Cumberland County Bar Association

Dauphin County Bar Association

Defense Research Institute

Pennsylvania Defense Institute

St. Thomas More Society

---

## YEAR JOINED

2018

## THOUGHT LEADERSHIP

### 98 Marshall Dennehey Attorneys Recognized in the 2026 Editions of The Best Lawyers in America® and the Best Lawyers: Ones to Watch® in America

August 20, 2025

Marshall Dennehey is proud to highlight the firm's 98 attorneys who have been recognized in the 2026 editions of The Best Lawyers in America® and the Best Lawyers: Ones to Watch® in America. Less than 6% of all practicing lawyers in the U.S.

[Read More](#)

### Marshall Dennehey Announces 2025 Pennsylvania Super Lawyers and Rising Stars

May 22, 2025

Thirty-three attorneys across Marshall Dennehey's five Pennsylvania offices have been selected to the 2025 edition of Pennsylvania Super Lawyers magazine.

[Read More](#)

### Marshall Dennehey Announces 2024 Pennsylvania Super Lawyers and Rising Stars

May 17, 2024

Twenty-eight attorneys across Marshall Dennehey's six Pennsylvania offices have been selected to the 2024 edition of Pennsylvania Super Lawyers magazine.

[Read More](#)

### Third Circuit Holds There Is No Right to Intervention in a Medical Context

**Harrisburg**

**Public Entity & Civil Rights Litigation**

**March 1, 2024**

Key Points: Defense Digest, Vol. 30, No.

### 121 Marshall Dennehey Attorneys Recognized in the 2024 Editions of The Best Lawyers in America® and the Best Lawyers: Ones to Watch® in America

August 17, 2023

Marshall Dennehey is proud to highlight the firm's 121 attorneys who have been recognized in the 2024 editions of The Best Lawyers in America® and the Best Lawyers: Ones to Watch® in America.

[Read More](#)

## RESULTS

### Defense verdict for physician in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

**Health Care Liability**

**April 22, 2022**

The plaintiff alleged that a physician in the Lehigh County jail failed to properly treat his chronic back pain, and that the physician exhibited a deliberate indifference to his serious medical needs. Specifically, the plaintiff contended that he should have received a spinal cord stimulator during his incarceration. After two days of testimony, the jury returned a defense verdict, agreeing with the defense's position that the physician did not exhibit a deliberate indifference to the plaintiff's serious medical needs.