

R. DAVID LANE JR.

SHAREHOLDER



AREAS OF PRACTICE

Privacy & Data Security
Intellectual Property, Technology & Media
Litigation
Insurance Services – Coverage & Bad Faith
Litigation
Consumer Financial Services Litigation &
Compliance
Miscellaneous Professional Liability
Appellate Advocacy & Post-Trial Practice
Commercial Litigation

CONTACT INFO

(203) 714-4565
RDLane@mdwgcg.com

Granite Square
700 State Street, Suite 303
New Haven, CT 06511

ADMISSIONS

Florida
2008

New York
2010

Connecticut
2020

U.S. District Court Southern
District of New York
2011

U.S. District Court Eastern District
of New York
2011

U.S. Court of Appeals 2nd Circuit
2016

U.S. District Court for the District
of Connecticut
2021

OVERVIEW

David is a shareholder in the firm's Professional Liability Department where he focuses his practice on privacy and data security, intellectual property and technology litigation, insurance coverage and bad faith litigation and consumer financial services litigation.

David represents clients through all stages of data breach response, including investigations, compliance with data breach notification laws and regulatory investigations. He advises clients on legal compliance with state, federal, and international privacy and data security laws. David has been accredited by the International Association of Privacy Professionals (IAPP) as a certified information privacy professional (CIPP/US). In addition, David has significant experience in consumer financial services litigation defending clients in claims brought under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and Fair Credit Reporting Act, as well as representing clients in insurance coverage and bad faith litigation claims.

David practiced in the firm's Manhattan office for 12 years. He has extensive experience litigating in the Connecticut and New York state and federal courts, and in the Florida state courts.

EDUCATION

University of Florida Levin College
of Law (J.D., cum laude, 2007)

University of Florida (B.A., magna
cum laude, 2004)

ASSOCIATIONS & MEMBERSHIPS

New York State Bar Association,
IP Section

International Association of
Privacy Professionals, CIPP/US

YEAR JOINED

2010

THOUGHT LEADERSHIP

Marshall Dennehey Opens Office in New Haven, Connecticut

March 1, 2022

Marshall Dennehey Warner Coleman & Goggin announced today that the Am Law 200 firm has opened its newest office in New Haven, Connecticut, in the Granite Square office complex located at 700 State Street.

[Read More](#)

Connecticut Supreme Court Determines Matching Disputes Are Subject to Appraisal

Insurance Services – Coverage & Bad Faith Litigation

January 18, 2022

A recent Connecticut Supreme Court decision will likely have substantial ramifications in insurance cases involving replacement disputes under the state's matching statute. The material in this law alert has been prepared for our readers by Marshall Dennehey Warner Coleman & Goggin.

U.S. Supreme Court to Decide Pivotal Booking.com Trademark Dispute

New York

Intellectual Property, Technology & Media Litigation

May 22, 2020

In *The United States Patent and Trademark Office v. Booking.com B.V.*, the U. S. The material in this law alert has been prepared for our readers by Marshall Dennehey Warner Coleman & Goggin.

Legal Obligations When a Data Breach Invokes the Laws of Multiple Jurisdictions

New York

Privacy & Data Security

July 12, 2019

Marshall Dennehey Announces 2018 Shareholder Class

January 3, 2018

Marshall Dennehey Warner Coleman & Goggin announced today that 14 associates and one special counsel have been elevated to shareholder, with 60 percent of the new shareholder class comprised of women.

[Read More](#)

PUBLISHED WORKS

"Legal Obligations When a Data Breach Invokes the Laws of Multiple Jurisdictions," *New York Law Journal*, July 12, 2019

"Are Hackers Secretly Stealing Your Practice?" *The Legal Intelligencer, Cybersecurity Supplement*, pg. 8, June 23, 2015

RESULTS

Verdict Affirmed by the New York Appellate Division

Appellate Advocacy & Post-Trial Practice

February 6, 2024

We secured a victory in a case involving an Article 75 petition seeking to vacate a master arbitration award. Following oral arguments, the court unanimously affirmed the lower court's decision as neither the lower arbitration award nor the master arbitration award were neither irrational nor contained errors of law or fact.